time to time, have been called from the companies and the matter is being constantly pursued. The current status of action taken is as under:

S. No.	Name of Product & Company	Present position of the examination
1	Brustan (Stancare-a division of M/s. Ranbaxy)	The case came to notice in August, 1989. After repeated letters to M/s. Ranbaxy and with the help of State Drug Controller, Delhi, reply was received in March 1992. In the meantime based on an application of the Comapany price was fixed in October '89. M/s. Ranbaxy have taken the plea that at the time of launching their product in Nov'85, they had applied to BICP for price fixation as required under DPCO 1979. However, no price approval was received by the company within 120 days, as such, as stipulated in para 14(3) of DPCO, 1979, the company started marketing the product at the price applied for. After getting the necessary documentary evidence Government would take legal action on the alleged over charging from December, 1985 to October, 1989.
2	Emflam Plus (E. Merck)	This case came to the notice of the Govt. in August, 1989. The company had applied to the BICP for price fixation on 17-11-1987 before alunching the product in April, 1988. Price was fixed on 1st December, 1988 after the issue regarding categorisation of the formulation was resolved. *Prima Facic* case of over-charging is not established.
3	Anaflam (M/s. Vax Institute Laboratories Ltd. and arketed by M/s. Albert David)	The case came to notice in March, 1990 After repeated reminders to the company and with the assistance of Commissioner FDA, West Bengal, a reply could be extracted from the company in March, 1992 The company has stated that no price approval was taken on the presumption that it being a SSI unit this product is exempt from price control. The legal position in this regard has been clarified to the company in April '92. The company has been asked to furnish immediately the data of producti sales and price charged. Commissioner FDA West Bengal has simultaneously been quested to get the information expedited If no data is received prosecution will be considered.

आकाशवाणी के मणिपुर केन्द्र से हिंदी गानों का प्रसारण

*427. **श्री महेन्द्र सिंह लाठर :***

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय :

क्या सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या सरकार को इस बात की जानकारी है कि आकाशवाणी के मणिपुर, केन्द्र से जुलाई, 1992 से हिंदी गानों का प्रसारण बन्द कर दिया गया है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इस तरह का निर्णय किस नियम के तहत लिया गया है और क्या यह राजभाषा अधिनियम, 1963 का उल्लंघन है; और

(ग) क्या सरकार मणिपुर रेडियो स्टेशन से हिंदी गानों का प्रसारण पुनः आरम्भ करेगी और संबंधित अधिकारियों के विरुद्ध कार्यवाही करेगी ?

सूचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय में उपमंत्री (कुमारी गिरिजा व्यास) : (क) आकाशवाणी, इम्फाल से हिंदी गानों का प्रसारण बन्द नहीं किया गया है।

(ख) और (ग) ये सवाल पैदा ही नहीं होते।

उत्तर प्रदेश में ग्रामीण स्वच्छता कार्यक्रम के लिए धन

*428. **श्री ईश दत्त यादव** : क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) पिछले तीन वर्षों के दौरान पहले वाले और संशोधित ग्रामीण स्वच्छता कार्यक्रम के अंतर्गत उत्तर प्रदेश को आवंटित की गई धनराशि तथा अब तक प्राप्त की गई उपलब्धियों का ब्यौरा क्या है ; और

(ख) क्या इस राज्य में कार्यक्रम निर्धारित समय से पिछड़े रहा है ?

ग्रामीण विकास मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री उत्तमभाई एच० पटेल) : (क) केन्द्रीय प्रायोजित ग्रामीण स्वच्छता कार्यक्रम की संशोधित मार्गदर्शिकाएं राज्य सरकारों को मार्च, 1991 में भेजी गई थीं। गत तीन वर्षों के दौरान केन्द्रीय प्रायोजित ग्रामीण स्वच्छता कायक्रम के अन्तर्गत उत्तर प्रदेश को किया गया आवंटन निम्नलिखित अनुसार था :—

(लाख रुपयों में)

1989–90 . .	265.05
1990–91 . .	265.05
1991–92 . .	530.40

उपरोक्त अवधि के दौरान उपलब्धि निम्नलिखित अनुसार थी :—

	(निर्मित स्वच्छ शौचालयों की संख्या) उपलब्धि
1989–90 . .	6058
1990–91 . .	5411
1991–92 . .	2678

(ख) कार्यक्रम के कार्यान्वयन के लिए कोई विशिष्ट समय-अनुसूची निर्धारित नहीं की गई थी। तथापि, राज्य सरकार को पूरी आवंटित राशि रिलीज न किए जाने के कारण वार्षिक लक्ष्यों को प्राप्तनहीं किया जा सका।

Industrial accident at Braithwaite and Company Limited

429. SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that an industrial accident took place on July 20, at Braithwaitt and Co. Limited's Angus factory into Hooghly killing seven workers and injuring some others;

(b) whether any inquiry has been conducted into the incident, if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the compensation paid to the dependents of the deceased and the injured workers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COAL WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF MINISTER OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI P. A. SANGMA): (a) Yes Sir. The death tool has since risen to nine.

(b) The Department of Heavy Industry has constituted a panel of experts to enquire into the accident.

(c) An ex-gratia amount of Rs. one lakh each to the families of the deceased,